

# Some ideas behind Agile

Sriram Narayan  
ThoughtWorks

ThoughtWorks<sup>®</sup>  
The art of heavy lifting<sup>™</sup>

## The Agile Manifesto

- ▶ *Individuals and interactions over processes and tools*
- ▶ *Working software over comprehensive documentation*
- ▶ Customer collaboration over contract negotiation
- ▶ Responding to change over following a plan

## Objectives

- ▶ Share a set of beliefs widely held to be true in the Agile community
- ▶ Establish 'common ground' for further argument.

## An Aside - Fast feedback

- ▶ In a dynamic environment, fast feedback (short feedback cycles) is more valuable than getting things right first time.
- ▶ Minimizing 'Exposure to Downside Risk'
  - 1 unit of cost \* 50% chance of failure = 0.5
  - 5 units of cost \* 20% chance of failure = 1.0
  - Courage

# 1. Coding Revisited

- ▶ More of a thought-activity than a typing activity
- ▶ Disambiguation of design
  - Requirements answers 'What?'
  - Design answers 'How?'
  - Coding answers: 'How exactly?'

## A new look at Coding

Car	Building	Software App
Market Research	Plan	Rqmnts and Planning
Design & Prototype	Design & Validate	Design & Code
Manufacture	Construct	<b>Build</b>
Test	Inspect	Test
Final Product =Car	Final Product =Building	Final Product =Executable App

# Programming != Manufacturing || Construction

- ▶ Better analogies for the activity of manufacturing or construction
  - Compilation of source code into executable
  - Burning CDs to ship the executable.
- ▶ Therefore, metrics like 'defect-rate' are of questionable value when applied to programming

## Dev Team Org

- ▶ It is unproductive to separate design and programming roles within a team
- ▶ Necessitates document generation and lengthens the feedback cycle.

## No one is above coding

- ▶ No ivory towers for Architects
- ▶ Its important to listen to/solicit feedback from the implementation work in progress.
- ▶ Conferring authority via designation makes the feedback part optional.

## Code as a form of documentation

- ▶ Unit Tests when written as 'units' are an effective form of documentation in addition to providing 'insurance cover'
- ▶ Expressive code (self-describing code)
- ▶ Viewed as above, XP teams produce more documentation than traditional teams

## To Summarize...

- ▶ Code is Design
- ▶ Everybody involved in development – be it programmer, designer or architect – should use feedback from the code being written for further work.
- ▶ Code is Documentation

## 2. Documentation is an intermediate product

- ▶ The fundamental issue is communication
- ▶ Docs need time, skill and ongoing care
- ▶ Need to balance cost and benefit
- ▶ Different types of docs have different costs and benefits
- ▶ Within a project - Person to person communication is often more effective

## Who decides how much?

- ▶ How much to invest in documentation?
- ▶ Let the stakeholder decide
- ▶ Not by precedent
- ▶ Not by dictates of a process

## Documents of questionable value

- ▶ Minutes of technical meetings
- ▶ Traceability matrix
- ▶ Most plans (not planning)
- ▶ Mandating comments in code
- ▶ SQAs often insist on seeing evidence/documentation but rarely think about its quality.

## Documentation tips

- ▶ Three useful questions
  - Cost of producing?
  - Cost of maintaining?
  - Cost of NOT producing?
- ▶ Who's the target? – docs meant for developers can very well be code
- ▶ Collaborative documentation e.g. wikis

## To Summarize...

- ▶ Just enough documentation
- ▶ 'Just in Time' documentation
- ▶ What's right for your customer and your situation?
- ▶ Eliminating documentation by fostering communication within team is a form of disintermediation



## 3. People dependence

- ▶ Typical reason: “No one should be indispensable – hence document”
- ▶ Person (singular) dependence may be risky
- ▶ But people dependence (plural) is okay and actually unavoidable for a knowledge organization
- ▶ Transferring knowledge from one person to a group is all about fostering communication.

## Moving to ‘People dependence’

- ▶ Pair programming and rotation
  - Instantaneous and continuous code review
  - Transfer of ‘implicit’ knowledge
  - Fosters ‘collective ownership’
  - Reduces risk of ‘person’ dependence
- ▶ Unit Tests (as documentation)

## To Summarize...

- ▶ Practices like 'pair programming' and 'unit testing' help you mitigate the risks of 'person dependence' without the overhead of unnecessary documentation.

Questions? Counter opinions?